Form	Total number of respondents	Frequency	Total annual responses	Average time per response	Estimated total annual burden hours
BLS 2000N	2,500 3,500 1,000	Annually	2,500 3,500 1,000	1 hour 1 hour 15 min	2,500 3,500 250
TOTAL	6,000		7,000		6,250

Total Annualized capital/startup costs: \$0.

Total annual costs (operating/maintaining systems or purchasing services): \$0.

Description: Ratios of hours at work to hours paid are needed to measure labor input for productivity statistics. Ratios from this survey are used to convert hours paid data from the Current Employment Statistics Program to hours at work. The resulting hours at work measures are then incorporated into the Bureau's labor and multifactor productivity statistics published annually and quarterly. The collection of information on hours at work began in 1982 and must be done annually because of the cyclical sensitivity of productivity measures.

Ira L. Mills,

Departmental Clearance Officer. [FR Doc. 99–15953 Filed 6–22–99; 8:45 am] BILLING CODE 4510–24–M

DEPARTMENT OF LABOR

Employment and Training Administration

[TA-W-35,449A]

ARCO, dba ARCO Exploration and Production Technology (AEPT) Plano, Texas; Negative Determination on Reconsideration

On April 21, 1999, the Department issued an Affirmative Determination Regulatory Application for Reconsideration for the workers and former workers of the subject firm. The notice was published in the **Federal Register** on May 6, 1999 (66 FR 24417).

The Department initially denied TAA to workers of ARCO Exploration and Production Technology because the "contributed importantly" group eligibility requirement of Section 222(3) of the Trade Act of 1974, as amended, was not met. Initial information indicated that the workers were engaged in exploration related to serving foreign markets. The workers at the subject firm were engaged in employment related to the research related to exploration of crude oil and natural gas.

The company asserted that the workers were involved in both the domestic and foreign markets and provided additional information which warranted reconsideration of the Department's previous denial.

On reconsideration, the Department requested that the subject firm provide additional information about the work being conducted at the subject facility. Additional information revealed that the workers at the subject facility were providing research and technical services in the areas of exploration, reservoir engineering, drilling, production, safety. The Plano facility is the main research, development, and technical service center as well as computing resource for ARCO's upstream operations. Most of the work done by the workers at AEPT is done at the Plano campus. AEPT provides a supporting role in domestic oil and natural gas exploration. Since the work is primarily done at the Plano campus, and not at the well site, the work is considered a service to the parent company and its subsidiaries and not an activity directly engaged in the exploration of crude oil and natural gas.

Conclusion

After reconsideration, I affirm the original notice of negative determination of eligibility to apply for worker adjustment assistance for the workers and former workers of ARCO Exploration and Production Technology, Plano, Texas.

Signed at Washington, D.C., this 4th day of June 1999.

Grant D. Beale,

Acting Director, Office of Trade Adjustment Assistance.

[FR Doc. 99–15955 Filed 6–22–99; 8:45 am] BILLING CODE 4510–30–M

DEPARTMENT OF LABOR

Employment and Training Administration

[TA-W-35,899]

Consolidated Coal Company Humphrey #7 Mine Osage, West Virginia; Affirmative Determination Regarding Application for Reconsideration

By letter of May 25, 1999, the petitioner requested administrative reconsideration of the Department of Labor's Notice of Negative Determination Regarding Eligibility to Apply for Worker Adjustment Assistance, applicable to petition number TA–W–35,899. The denial notice was signed on May 7, 1999 and published in the **Federal Register** on June 3, 1999 (64 FR 29888).

The petitioner provided additional information about imports of coal which should have been considered by the Department in its survey of customers.

Conclusion

After careful review of the application, I conclude that the claim is of sufficient weight to justify reconsideration of the Department of Labor's prior decision. The application is, therefore, granted. Signed at Washington, D.C. this 8th day of June 1999.

Grant D. Beale,

Acting Director, Office of Trade Adjustment Assistance.

[FR Doc. 99–15956 Filed 6–22–99; 8:45 am] BILLING CODE 4510–30–M

DEPARTMENT OF LABOR

Employment and Training Administration

[TA-W-35,276]

Dawson Production, Midland, Texas; Dismissal of Application for Reconsideration

Pursuant to 29 CFR 90.18(C) an application for administrative reconsideration was filed with the Acting Director of the Office of Trade Adjustment Assistance for workers at